All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO person  (1) Leigh McKane.  (2) Melissa Beede.  (3)  Date of Interview: 22 June 2007.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant 2) applicant 1 personal [Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was substance of Interview including description of the general nature	iner  McKane  nel):	JENKINS ET AL.  Art Unit  1744	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO person  (1) Leigh McKane.  (2) Melissa Beede.  (4)  Date of Interview: 22 June 2007.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant 2) applicant 2 personal [rype, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was substance of Interview including description of the general nature	McKane nel):		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO person  (1) Leigh McKane.  (2) Melissa Beede.  (3)  Date of Interview: 22 June 2007.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant 2) applicant 1 personal [Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was substance of Interview including description of the general nature	nel): 	1744	
(1) Leigh McKane. (3)_  (2) Melissa Beede. (4)_  Date of Interview: 22 June 2007.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ Note of the description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was Substance of Interview including description of the general nature			
(2) Melissa Beede. (4)_  Date of Interview: 22 June 2007.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ ap			
Date of Interview: 22 June 2007.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ Applicant 3 ☐ Applicant 2) ☐ Applicant 3 ☐			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ Note that is applicant 2) ☐ Note that 2) ☐	·		
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant 3) applicant 2) applicant 3) applicant 2) applicant 3) applicant 2) applicant 3) applicant 2) applicant 2) applicant 3) applicant 2) applicant 2) applicant 3) applicant 2) applicant 3)	Date of Interview: 22 June 2007.		
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was substance of Interview including description of the general nature			
Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was substance of Interview including description of the general nature			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was reached and r	Claim(s) discussed:		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature	Identification of prior art discussed:		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Beede contacted the Examiner in response to the Requirement for Restriction of 6/13/07, as it appeared from the Examiner's action that the Examiner did not see the Election set forth in the Response filed 3/19/07. Upon reviewing the file, the Examiner agreed that she did not see the Election and that reissuing the Requirement for Restriction was in error. An Office Action on the Merits will be issued.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

## Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attemeys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, attpulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt. 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself

the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless

out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction

either with or prior to the next official communication. It additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

Date of interview

substance of the interview.

Name of examiner

Name of applicant

Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)

not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.

Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)

An identification of the specific prior art discussed

paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and

Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,

3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed, 2) an identification of the claims discussed,

accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the

substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the which bear directly on the question of patentability.

incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

## Paragraph (b)

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the

describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.

An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and

7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by

4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It

attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview

The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not